

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

عا

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DAT		ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/891\700	700 06/26/2001		Vijayakumar R. Dhuler	9134-32CT	2751
20792	7590	06/11/2002			
		LEY & SAJOVE	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 374 RALEIGH, N				LE, DANG D	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2834	
			DATE MAILED: 06/11/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/891,700	DHULER					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
<b>₹</b>	Dang D Le	2834					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 04 June 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:							
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection</li> </ol>	on(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would loanceling the non-allowable claim(s).	pe allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment					
5.☑ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	use it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment( explanation of how the new or amended claims wo							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,6-11,35,36,40 and 42-44</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
0. Other:		nn					
		NESTOR RAMIREZ.					
Patent and Trademark Office		PEPVISORY PATENT EXAMINER PEOPVISORY PATENT EXAMINER PEOPVISORY GENTER 2800					

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 09/891,700

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The U. S. Patent Number 6,137,206 issued to Hill could not be disqualified as a prior art although Cronos was acquired by JDS Uniphase on August 18, 2000. M. P. E. P. 706.02(I), fourth paragraph clearly states that "commonly onwed' means wholly owned or by the same person(s), or organization(s) at the time the invention was made." However, the time the invention was made is August 25, 1999 which is the filing date of the parent application, serial number 09/383,053.